

February 23, 2009

Sir Mark Moody-Stuart  
Vice Chair  
Global Compact Board

Ref.: Your letter dated February 9, 2009

Dear Sir Mark,

Thank you very much for your thoughtful response to our request to apply the UN Global Compact's Integrity Measures to PetroChina. We welcome your decision to discuss this matter at the next Global Compact Board meeting. We also welcome your planned review of the processes described in the Integrity Measures.

As you know from reviewing our complaint, we paid close attention to the details of the documented process. However, our correspondence with the Global Compact Office shows that the Office's interpretation of the Measures is very different from ours. Our understanding of the process is that it does not call for evaluation of allegations but rather requires, at minimum, that the Global Compact Office request written comments from the accused company.

In your letter, you discuss the importance placed by the Global Compact on developing its Local Networks and on its Communications on Progress policy. We appreciate and recognize the significant value of these efforts. Additionally, we believe that a transparent and effective grievance mechanism is an indispensable element of the UN Global Compact. Our complaint against PetroChina provides an opportunity to demonstrate how the process should work to ensure that the Compact's dialogue mechanism is effective and robust.

We hope you agree that engaging in a Global Compact Local Network and publishing a Communication on Progress are not the only ways for a company to ensure that its presence is socially responsible.

Given your comment that the Board will discuss the complaint against PetroChina at its next meeting, we have two requests for your consideration. First, please consider convening a board meeting in the first half of this year, due to the urgency of the crisis in Darfur, instead of in the second semester of 2009 as indicated on the Global Compact's website. Second, please provide us with the opportunity to clarify our complaint in a brief presentation to the Board and provide answers to questions from board members as one component of the meeting. This interactive format would allow discussion on some of the specific details of our complaint, such as the relationship between PetroChina and CNPC and options for constructive engagement by the company in lieu of withdrawal.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,



Eric Cohen  
Chairperson  
Investors Against Genocide  
Boston



Bart Slob  
Senior researcher  
SOMO  
Amsterdam

cc. Mr. Georg Kell